

To: Gilbert, Alexas[Gilbert.Alexas@epa.gov]; Dresser, Chris[Dresser.Chris@epa.gov]; Rothery, Deirdre[Rothery.Deirdre@epa.gov]
From: Smith, Claudia
Sent: Mon 4/18/2016 2:19:24 PM
Subject: RE: U&O FIP: Updated Cost Numbers using CTG

No concerns here. It will probably have to change again before the final, given the final CTG in June.

Thanks,

Claudia

From: Gilbert, Alexas
Sent: Thursday, April 14, 2016 5:16 PM
To: Smith, Claudia <Smith.Claudia@epa.gov>; Dresser, Chris <Dresser.Chris@epa.gov>; Rothery, Deirdre <Rothery.Deirdre@epa.gov>
Subject: U&O FIP: Updated Cost Numbers using CTG

Hi All-

Here is the total cost number using the CTG numbers for **quarterly** as well as **semi annual** inspections: **\$83,115,662**

The previous number (**\$84,155,591**) was generated by multiplying the cost of LDAR per facility by 2.

They are pretty similar, I think we might use the CTG numbers for VOC reduced for the emission estimates so, unless there's a concern with using this new number – I think **\$83,115,662** is the number we should use.

The spreadsheet outlining the calculation for this number is “Draft Cost Analysis Numbers2 4.14.16” on the I drive.

Thoughts? Concerns?

Thanks-

Alexas